

Open Source Quality Assurance System for Vocational Education

2014-1-HU01-KA202-002356

ERASMUS+ Strategic Partnership

2014-2017



Evaluation of the 1st Event with Teachers in Italy

Associazione Italiana per l'Informatica e il Calcolo Automatico 15 September 2015, Italy

OpenQAsS

Document data

Author:	Pierfranco Ravotto	
Version:	published	
Theme:	Evaluation of the 1 st Teacher's Consultations event in Italy	
Outcome:	02	
Date:	06/10/2015	
Document Type:	report	
File:	E1_Evaluation_report.docx	
Lector:	Laszlo Muller	
Product ID:	EVALE1	
Target group:	Project partners	

Table of Content

Document data	2
۲able of Content	2
Results of the 1 st event	3
1 st Event	3
Results:	3
Comments	4



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use, which may be made of the information contained therein.

Results of the 1st event

1st Event


Date (dd-mm-yyyy)	1st Event: 15-09-2015
Number of participants	12 (IT)
Location	IT Zappa-Cremona (MI)
Activity Leading Organisation	Associazione Italiana per l'Informatica e il Calcolo automatico
Participating Organisations	CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE
Participants	School principals Teachers Local school authorities Stakeholders

Questions about the planning and development of the planned software at the Teacher's consultation event.

The questionnaire allowed partners to include any other comment or suggestion regarding all those aspects not dealt with in the questionnaire, or aspects that should be taken into further account.

Results:

- Good interest on the theme
- Availability to debate and compare Quality systems
- Lack of opportunity to test new tools





This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use, which may be made of the information contained therein.



Comments

- Strength of the RAV is that it returns data benchmarking; it allows to compare own schools with other in similar context.
- The difficulty is the governance of the process.
- In the school a Fordist model still prevails; the need is to experience flexibility.
- Lever to design improvement plans is the involvement of people; it becomes crucial to develop a system of communication within the school and between schools.
- It is important to give the evaluation a pedagogical cut; the RAV makes us think if the pedagogical model is shared with teachers and parents.



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use, which may be made of the information contained therein.